UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,910	06/10/2008	Steven A. Boyd	GEN/034	6041	
Henry D. Colen	7590 05/18/201 [.] n an	EXAMINER			
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE, P.C.			ZAREK, PAUL E		
714 Colorado Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/18/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/593,910	BOYD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Zarek	1628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe	ebruary 2010.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7,9-17 and 28-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7,9-17 and 28-30</u> is/are rejected						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/15/2008</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/593,910

Art Unit: 1628

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, and 9-17 have been amended, Claims 28-30 have been added, and Claims 8 and 18-27 have been cancelled by the Applicant in correspondence filed on 02/16/2010. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-17, and 28-30 are currently pending. This is the first Office Action on the merits of the claim(s).

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, drawn to a compound of formula I where in none of R¹, R², R³, and R⁴ contain cyclic groups, R⁵ and R⁶ are -H, R⁷ and R⁸ are -H, alkyl, or haloalkyl, R⁹ does not contain a cyclic group, antibody, polysaccharide, or peptide, R^a and R^b are

Page 2

-H or -OH, and R^c and R^d are -H, and the species

02/16/2010 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all of the instant claims have a common nucleus and that the number and type of substituents on that common nucleus is irrelevant to unity of invention. Applicants also contend that it would not constitute an undue search burden to search the entirety of the claims. This is not found persuasive because

Applicants' amendment to the claims has cancelled subject matter that is not encompassed by the elected Group I. Examiner notes that the nucleus is anticipated by the prior art (see below), thus the invention as claimed does not possess a special technical feature over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 1628

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-17, and 28-30 read on the elected group and species and are examined herein.

Priority

4. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed International Application no. PCT/US05/09970 (filed on 03/23/2005) which claims the benefit prior-filed provisional application no. 60/556,217 (filed on 03/24/2004) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. The effective filing date of the instant application is 03/24/2004.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no space between "claim" and "16." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (2nd paragraph)

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 2, 3, 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 limits R⁹ to be either -OR¹⁰ or NR¹¹R¹², which means that R¹⁰ and R¹² can not coexist on the same molecule. Claims 2, 3, 7, 10, and 11 depend from Claim 1 and contain the limitation "the compound of claim 1 . . . wherein R¹⁰ is . . . and R¹²

Application/Control Number: 10/593,910 Page 4

Art Unit: 1628

is . . ." (emphasis added), which means that a molecule of Claim 1 contains both R^{10} and R^{12} . Thus, Claims 2, 3, 7, 10, and 11 lack antecedent basis for a molecule that contains both R^{10} and R^{12} . Applicants can overcome this rejection by amending Claims 2, 3, 7, 10, and 11 to change from " R^{10} is . . . and R^{12} is . . ." to " R^{10} is . . . or R^{12} is . . .".

8. Claims 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 16 contains the limitation of "a compound of claims 1-15," which encompasses cancelled claims. Claims can not depend from cancelled claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-16, and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ostrovskii, et al. (Bioorganicheskaya Khimiya, 1977).
- 11. Claim 1 of the instant application is drawn to a compound of formula I. Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 9-15, and 28-30 limit the various substituents of formula I. Claim 16 is drawn to a

Application/Control Number: 10/593,910

Art Unit: 1628

pharmaceutical composition comprising a compound of formula I. The elected species,

Page 5

аналог, pg 1086, first compound). Ostrovskii, et al., disclose that the compound is in a solution containing: 1 µМ thiamine analog (1 мкмопъ тиамина ипи его анапога) (e.g. the elected species), 4 µМ ATP (4 мкмопъ ATP), 20 µМ MgCl₂, (20 мкмопъ MgCl₂), and 20 µМ Tris-HCl (20 мкмопъ Трис-HCl-буфера) (pg 1088 para 3, lines 7-8). This solution is pharmaceutically acceptable. Therefore, Ostrovskii, et al., anticipate all the limitations of the rejected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application/Control Number: 10/593,910

Art Unit: 1628

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 6

- 15. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ostrovskii, et al. (above) in view of Ulusakarya, et al. (American Journal of Hematology, 1999).
- 16. Claim 17 is drawn to the pharmaceutical composition of Claim 16 further comprising a chemotherapeutic agent, antiangiogenic agent, or an agent which modulates signaling associated with hypoxic conditions in a cell.
- 17. Ostrowskii, et al., was described above. Briefly, Ostrowskii, et al., teach a pharmaceutical composition comprising the elected species (pg 1086, first compound; pg 1088 para 3, lines 7-8). Ostrowskii, et al., disclose that the compounds contained therein are thiamine analogs (Title) and that the elected species has properties similar to thiamine with respect to activating thiamin pyrophosphokinase (compare тиамин [pg 1084 first compound] with the 3'N-Пиридиновъй аналог, the elected species [pg 1086, first compound]). Thus, the elected species is considered an obvious variant of thiamine. Ostrowskii, et al., do not teach making a pharmaceutical composition further comprising an additional agent.
- 18. Ulusakarya, et al., teach that chemotherapy can lead to thiamine deficiency (Title). Administration of thiamine resulted in "dramatic clinical improvement" (pg 156, col 1, para 1, lines 5-6). Given that chemotherapy can lead to thiamine deficiency, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to administer thiamine, or a thiamine analog to treat the thiamine deficiency.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,910 Page 7

Art Unit: 1628

Conclusion

19. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-17, and 28-30 are rejected.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul Zarek whose telephone number is (571) 270-5754. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PEZ

/San-ming Hui/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1628